Attribute Prediction in Fashion Clothes

王师广 2018/09/27



How to judge the styles of clothes ?

we concentrate on the following aspects to improve the recognition performance:

- 1: Data argumentation
- 2: Transfer learning
- **3: Model fusion**
- 4: The style relationship







Imbalance data distribution

Distribution of the training and validation dataset. It is obviously shown that the class distribution is seriously imbalance. Taking style index 1(运动) as example, there are 104 positive samples while 54804 negative samples in the dataset.





Posture



Noise sample



Background



Error labeling (not belong to Sports)





Resampling the training set

To avoid over-fitting, we resampled the training set to make sure each training batch contains proper positive samples. Moreover, we undersampled negative samples for classes 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13 and kept the original proportion for classes 3, 5, 6, 9. The core idea of this operation is trying to make a balance on improving the pos-neg proportion and maintaining the original data distribution.





Three ways for data argumentation



Input image





Random Croping



Random Erasing









Random Croping







Random Croping +Random Erasing+ Random Rotating



Summary of the 13 style indexes provided by the JDAI fashion dataset.

Index.	Style.	Index.	Styles.
1	Sports	8	Girl
2	Casual	9	Lady
3	Office lady	10	Minimalist
4	Japanese	11	Natural
5	Korean	12	Punk
6	Western	13	Ethnic
7	British		



Comparison of stacking and linear blending method.





The base model and linear blending method result

Network	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Resnet50	0.16	0.62	0.97	0.35	0.67	0.65	0.16
Densenet121	0.13	0.65	0.98	0.35	0.68	0.65	0.16
Inception-v4	0.14	0.64	0.97	0.35	0.67	0.66	0.17
Model Fusion	0.18	0.67	0.98	0.37	0.70	0.70	0.17

Network	8	9	10	11	12	13	Mean
Resnet50 Densenet121	0.42 0.44	0.97 0.97	0.63 0.63	0.75 0.75	0.42 0.44	0.33 0.31	0.55 0.55
Inception-v4 Model Fusion	0.42	0.96	0.64	0.75	0.40	0.33	0,55 0.57



Since there is a huge gap between ImageNet dataset and JDAIfashion dataset, using ImageNet pretrained model to initialize the weights to help the style recognition may get sub-opt. Hence, we pre-train our base model on **DeepFashion** dataset, which is a big clothing fashion dataset and have more closely data distribution with JDAIfashion dataset.





DeepFashion contains over 800,000 diverse fashion images ranging from well-posed shop images to unconstrained consumer photos. Each image in this dataset is labeled with around 50 categories, 1000 descriptive attributes, bounding box and clothing landmarks. Our pre-training task concentrates on classifying 50 clothes attributes. To get better pre-trained model, we **cleaned the dataset** and selected **20 attributes** with more balanced data distribution.





Performance of using transfer learning for different styles.

Approach	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Model Fusion Transfer Learning	0.18 0.22	0.67 0.69	0.98 0.98	0.37 0.42	0.70 0.72	0.70	0.17 0.23
Approach	8	9	10	11	12	13	Mean
Model Fusion Transfer Learning	0.45 0.47	0.97 0.97	0.64 0.66	0.77 0.79	0.45 0.47	0.33 0.35	0.57 0.59



The conditional probability of style index A when style index B happened. The indexes are declared in Table I. For example, if the clothes belongs to style index 1 (Sports), it may belongs to style index 2 (Casual) with a probability of 95%.





We analyzed the conditional probability of the given labels with Eqn.1.

$$P(A|B) = \frac{N(A \cap B)}{N(B)} \tag{1}$$

Where $N(A \cap B)$ means the number of images simultaneously belonging to style A and B. N (B) means the number of images belonging to style B. Since we have processed each style individually, we applied the styles relationship (conditional probability) using Eqn.2.

$$P_{final}(A) = \alpha \cdot P(A) + \sum_{i \in M} \beta_i \cdot P(A|B_i)$$
(2)

where α and β_i are the hyper-parameters to balance the contribution of styles relationship. P (A) means the probability calculated by our convolutional neural network. M is the candidate set that our network predict to be positive ($B_i \neq A$). If the network predicts all the styles B_i^- as positive with confidence score 0, then the final confidence score of style A is: $P_{final}(A) = P(A)$



We adopted cross-validation method to evaluate the individual models and the fusion results. Specifically, we split 43926 images for training and 10981 for validation.

Data Augmentation	_	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Transfer learning	_	_	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cost-Sensitive	—	-	_	Yes	Yes
Styles relationship	_	_	_	_	Yes
Mean F2-score	0.44	0.57	0.59	0.60	0.63



In this paper, we introduce our proposed method for clothing style classification in JD AI Fashion-Challenge. Our method dealt with the problem from the aspects of data argumentation, network structure, transfer learning and cost sensitive learning. Besides, we investigated the styles relationship for the post processing. With the proposed approach, we achieved 0.6524 F2-score on the testing set, which is the 3rd place on the leaderboard.



1. detection and recognition (image-level detector)

Zhou B, Khosla A, Lapedriza A, et al. Learning deep features for discriminative

localization[C]//Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2016: 2921-2929.

- 2、 cost-sensitive learning (interclass distance)
- 3、 joint multi-task learning
- 4、 bottom-up feature fusion

Lin T Y, Dollár P, Girshick R B, et al. Feature Pyramid Networks for Object Detection[C]//CVPR. 2017, 1(2): 3.





最新视觉比赛信息,大牛经验分享及交流请填表加群



下载视频PPT及更多分享请关注极市平台公众号